(c) when the assessment for this period was completed and when this was communicated to the company; and

(f) what steps were taken to recover the due amount?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The main reasons that the Special Team took into account erroneous rates, quantities & period, did not work out the liability in the case of formulations also, as envisaged in terms of the Supreme Court's judgment, and allowed certain disputed set-offs, in the computation of the tentative liability of M/s. Hoechst.

(c) to (e) No, Sir. The liabilities for the period after 1-1-1984 were also communicated to the Company by the end of January 1991, in all the cases.

(f) In terms of the Order dated 8-3-1991 of the Bombay High Court, the Company is to be given a hearing and a speaking other is to be passed thereafter;

**Hike in prices of drugs production by multinational drug companies**

3100. SHRI R. S. NAIK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of drugs produced by multinational drug companies whose prices have been hiked by Government due to Rupee devaluation;

(b) what is the name of each company;

(c) what are the names of imported inputs due to which price increase has been allowed and what was the price taken of each input in case of earlier and revised price of each drug; and

(d) what are the names of drugs based on which Government have fixed the prices of finished medicines and what price of bulk drug has been taken in each case?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) The prices of 54 bulk drugs were revised by the Government due to change in exchange rate of rupee against major foreign currencies on the basis of cost data available with the Government and in consultation with the BICP. In the DPCO 1987, there is no provision for separate price fixation for multinational companies and Indian companies. The imported inputs differ from drug to drug and manufacturer to manufacturer depending upon the stage of production and technology etc.

(d) The prices of ceiling packs of formulations based on 16 of these bulk drugs have already been notified in the official Gazette and the rest are under process of fixation. The notified prices of bulk drugs are taken into account for fixing the prices of formulations based on them.

**Acute shortage of various formulations of Erythromycin**

3101. SHRI M. A. BABY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether ti is a fact that there is acute shortage of various formulations of Erthromycin an important antibiotic for respiratory infections;

(b) whether the reasons attributable to the shortage are mainly the monopoly in production and import by its sole manufacturer M|s. Alembic;

(c) whether it is also a fact that higher import duty on TIOC enables the manufacturer to further his interest; and

(d) if so, what steps are contemplated by Government to do away, the monopoly of M/s. Alembic to make easy available of Erythromycin a reasonable rates?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) No general shortage of formulations of Erthromycin has come to the notice of the Government except instances of shortage of some branded formulations, in some localised areas.

for which therapeutic aquivalents were usually available.

(b) to (d) M/s. Alembic are manufacturing Erythromycin from basic stage whereas other manufacturers are manufacturing Erythromycin and its salts from intermediate stage of TIOC. Shifting of TIOC from 3-A to O.G.L. and reduction in duty has already been recommended by the Department. However, proposal of industry regarding drastic reduction in duty on TIOC is being examined. Moreover, in a meeting held on 5.12 91 in the Department between representatives of Drug Industry and M/s. Alembic, the company has been directed to supply a portion of their production of TIOC to industry every month.

**Withdrawal of amount from drugs prices equalisation account**

3102. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total amount withdrawn from Drugs Prices Eqhalisation amount from the inception and till 30th November, 1991;

(b) what was the purpose for which the amount was withdrawn;

(c) when each amount was withdrawn and to whom the same was paid;

(d) what is the amount spent for maintaining the account and recovery of dues yearwise and what are the details of the same;

(e) whether any amount has been paid to any drug company;

(f) if so, the details thereof and whether any amount is due from the company under this account; and

(g) if so, the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (g) The information will be laid on the Table of the House, after reconciling the figures, particularly with STC and the Pay & Accounts Office of the Department.

**Assessment of prices charged by drug companies**

3103. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 670 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 28th November, 1991 and state:

(a) what is the date upto which assessment is to be made in both Supreme Court and non-Supreme Court cases;

(b) what is the date in each case of Supreme Court and Delhi High Court upto which the company charged the prices of bulk drugs and formulations for which each company got stay; and

(c) when each company implemented Government fixed price in each case and what is the present fixed price of each bulk drug and finished medicine involved in litigation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) Detailed information has already been given in the Statement annexed to the answer relating to the question referred to. The information asked for is voluminous and time consuming to collect, as such time and effort involved would not be commensurate with the results likely to be achieved.

**Petitions filed by multinational drug companies**

3104. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1650 given in the Rajya Sabha on 12th September, 1991 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that number of multinational drug companies filed petitions in various courts against the price fixation by Government under DPCO. 1979 and 1987;